



Deliverable title:	Risk Management Plan
Deliverable ID:	D1.4
Document version:	1.0
Partner responsible:	IMAT
Due date:	31/01/2024
Status:	Final



Funded by

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement Project No: 101076633. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.



D1.4

Document Control Sheet

Deliverable number	D1.4
Deliverable name	Risk Management Plan
Dissemination Level	PU
Call	HORIZON-CL5-2022-D6-01
Topic	HORIZON-CL5-2022-D6-01-08-Safer navigation and tackling containership fires
Consortium Coordinator	IMAT
Partner responsible for the deliverable	IMAT
Edition	1.0

Authors of the document

Appointed reviewers of the document - Representatives of beneficiaries involved in the project			
Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title within the project		Date
Vittorio Sangermano /ISSNOVA Raffaella Russo/ISSNOVA	OVERHEAT Project Management and WP9 leader		19/01/2024
Valentina Lasco/IMAT Marco Pasciuto/IMAT	OVERHEAT Project Management and WP1 Leader		22/01/2024
Vittorio Sangermano /ISSNOVA Raffaella Russo/ISSNOVA	OVERHEAT Project Management and WP9 leader		20/02/2024
Massimo Capozza/PeopleTrust	WP4 Leader		11/03/2024
Marco Pasciuto/IMAT	OVERHEAT Project Management and WP1 Leader		15/03/2024



D1.4

Reviewers of the document

Appointed reviewers of the document - Representatives of beneficiaries involved in the project		
Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title within the project	Date
Marco Pasciuto/IMAT	OVERHEAT Project Manager and WP1 Leader	22/01/2024
Valentina Lasco/IMAT	OVERHEAT Project Management and WP1 Leader Support	22/01/2024
Marco Pasciuto/IMAT	OVERHEAT Project Manager and WP1 Leader	14/03/2024

Responsible for the document approval

List of people approving the document - Representatives of beneficiaries involved in the project		
Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title within the project	Date
Marco Pasciuto/IMAT	OVERHEAT Project Manager and WP1 Leader	15/03/2024
Vittorio Sangermano/ISSNOVA	ISSNOVA PoC	15/03/2024
Bartosz Dziugiel/ILOT	ILOT PoC	15/03/2024
Holger Kramer/ISL	ISL PoC	15/03/2024
Fabienne Vallee/ Brest Port	Brest Port PoC	15/03/2024
Rafael Company/VPF	VPF PoC	15/03/2024
Stefano Ricci/DITS	DITS PoC	15/03/2024
Théo Delferriere/CIRCOE	CIRCOE PoC	15/03/2024
Diego Cioce/AKKODIS	AKKODIS PoC	15/03/2024



D1.4

Pedro Merino-Laso/ENSM	ENSM PoC	15/03/2024
Massimiliano Siliberti/GTS	GTS PoC	15/03/2024
Gunnar Tietze/SeaTopic	SeaTopic PoC	15/03/2024
Massimo Capozza/PeopleTrust	PeopleTrust PoC	15/03/2024
Dominic Kudlacek/ISaSS	ISaSS PoC	15/03/2024
Francesco Russo/TopView	TopView PoC	15/03/2024
José Miguel Basset Blesa/VFF	VFF PoC	15/03/2024
Simone Panfiglio/Caronte	Caronte PoC	15/03/2024

OVERHEAT is a Horizon Europe project supported by the European Union under grant agreement no. 101076633. The information contained in this document is a property of the OVERHEAT consortium and it shall not be reproduced, disclosed, modified or communicated to any third party without the prior written consent of the consortium.

Views and opinions expressed in the document are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or REA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.



D1.4

ABSTRACT

The deliverable scope is to address all the risks related to the development of the project. Of course, during the whole project execution particular attention will be paid to possible risks, however it is fundamental to have a predictive approach on them, also identifying mitigation actions. For this reason, the Risk Management Plan allows to address at least the high-level risks and their mitigation actions which are needed to ensure the successful outcomes of the project.

This deliverable corresponds to the task 1.4 “Risk Management”, which aims to maintain the recording of the risks and of the related mitigation actions. The methodology to be followed for risk management consists of four steps: (i) Risk identification: the risks are identified, detailed and described for each Work Package (WP). (ii) Risk quantification: the probability of occurrence of the possible risk event will be determined, and the consequences associated with their occurrence will be examined. (iii) Risk response: methods will be produced to reduce or control the risk. (iv) Risk control and report: lessons learnt will be documented.

Timely awareness of and reaction to potential problems will be crucial to effective risk management. Hence, the coordinator will check that the risk mitigation and contingency measures provided in this plan will be timely applied if needed.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	5
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	6
LIST OF FIGURES	7
LIST OF TABLES	7
ACRONYMS	8
INTRODUCTION	9
SECTION 1 - RISKS IDENTIFICATION	10
SECTION 2 - RISK MANAGEMENT	10
CONCLUSIONS	13
REFERENCES	14



D1.4

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - Risks and Management strategies 10



D1.4

ACRONYMS

Acronym	Definition
CA	Consortium Agreement
DMP	Data Management Plan
GA	Grant Agreement
RMP	Risk Management Plan
QAP	Quality Assurance Plan
WPs	Work Packages



D1.4

INTRODUCTION

The main goal of the Risk Management Plan (RMP) is to reduce the probability that unexpected and sudden events compromise the project successful achievement of the objectives, by identifying potential challenging events and envisaging mitigation measures aimed at avoiding or lowering the likelihood of negative occurrence. During a project lifetime possible difficulties to be overcome could be faced. Hence, due to the complexity of the OVERHEAT project, some risks could arise during the implementation phase. As such, the strategy here presented consists in anticipating possible events, identifying well-structured mitigation actions.

To mitigate risks and maintain high quality outputs, the consortium prepared a high-level description of the risks in the project proposal phase. Once the project has been financed, the importance to prepare the RMP has become essential. The RMP lists a number of potential risks and the respective mitigation strategies. Although, the COVID-19 crisis is now in a descendant phase, the risk related to it will be however addressed for completeness. Indeed, the consortium planned to have online meetings and to conduct the Work Packages (WPs) activities remotely.



D1.4

SECTION 1 - Risks identification

During the proposal phase OVERHEAT already analysed the risks connected with the execution of the research activities that might affect the achievement of the project objectives.

However, the need to identify potential deviations and risks connected with the project activities become of vital importance once the project started, since the goodness of the project outcomes also strictly depends by the effects of possible unexpected events.

As such, all partners were invited by the coordinator to identify potential deviations and risks connected to their activities, by recognising effects on WPs, deliverables, and milestones. Such procedure allowed OVERHEAT to foresee additional risks from those pre-identified in the proposal, covering all the WPs and so better supporting the WPs management and as a consequence, the project governance. The OVERHEAT partners also specified the likelihood and the severity of their occurrence as well as additional information as their relevance to particular activities.

SECTION 2 - Risk Management

Although several risks could arise during the development of a project, many of them can be anticipated and effectively mitigated. However, it will be important to keep traces of all the risks that could impact a project during the execution. The table here below addresses a list of potential risks all along the OVERHEAT project and how the partners anticipate mitigating them.

Table 1 - Risks and Management strategies

Risk Number	Description	Work Package No(s)	Proposed Mitigation Measures
1	Partners withdrawing during the project's lifetime or unable to contribute as necessary (Likelihood: Low Severity: High)	WP1	The project Consortium Agreement clearly defines the partner roles and responsibilities avoiding misunderstanding and dissatisfaction; the Project Management Plan (PMP) will tightly monitor the progress of each partner by means of monthly progress reports and promptly react where required.
2	Failing agreement between the partners on the technical and management aspects of the project.	WP1	A well-defined decision-making mechanism will allow solving such dispute in a timely manner.



D1.4

	(Likelihood: Low Severity: Medium)		
3	Loss of critical competencies or of key persons. (Likelihood: Low Severity: Medium)	WP1	The OVERHEAT consortium consists of established organisations and companies that will be able to replace personnel with equivalent skill staff.
4	Incomplete identification of hazards due to the natural rarity of accidental events (Likelihood: Low Severity: Medium)	WP3	The consortium will combine experience of operational partners with literature studies and prospective vision of scientists to create a crosscheck net capable to minimize the probability of undetected hazards.
5	Underestimation of severity of consequences due to the natural rarity of accidental events (Likelihood: Low Severity: Medium)	WP3	The consortium will combine experience of operational partners with literature studies and prospective vision of scientists to apply corrective parameters proportional to uncertainties to reduce the probability of underestimate consequences.
6	Impossibility to perform validation activities according to the GANTT schedule due to the platform unavailability (Likelihood: Low Severity: Medium)	WP7	The consortium will work at a very early stage with exercise leader to ensure the timely execution of the validation activity.
7	A conflict on ownership of IP could arises among partners if a leak of IP sensitive data in communication activities occurs. (Likelihood: Low Severity: Low)	All	The project Consortium Agreement clearly defines how to manage IP issues.
8	Stakeholders not available to participate in the project activities (Likelihood: Medium Severity: Medium)	All	Identified stakeholders unavailability could be solved thanks to the partners' networks. Some partners have experience in the involvement of relevant



D1.4

			stakeholders in the EU project activities (i.e. ISSNOVA, Topview)
9	Poor communication among partners (Likelihood: Low Severity: High)	All	The PMP will address clearly communication protocols and channels to assure continuous information sharing. Monitoring progress and bi-monthly meetings. Schedule and allocation enforcing the collaboration
10	Covid Impact on meetings and validation (Likelihood: Medium Severity: Low)	All	Reorganize the project and reschedule WPs and activation when possible of remote collaboration
11	Delay in project activities (Likelihood: Medium Severity: Medium)	All	Conservative scheduling of the activities; Continuously monitor the information flow among the WPs and generate alerts to start up recovery actions as delay is foreseen.
12	Deliverables may not achieve the expected quality. (Likelihood: Low Severity: Medium)	All	The quality of deliverables is ensured by an internal review system. The quality assurance plan has been prepared to address this kind of issue. However, the coordinator, in accordance with the Project Coordination Committee (PCC) performs the last quality check review addressing the quality of the deliverable.
13	Small financial deviations from initially planned budgets may be requested by partners during the project, which do not imply a change in the overall budget amount (Likelihood: Low Severity: Low).	WP1	The coordinator keeps regular communication with partners to discuss any potential financial barrier and tackle it as soon as possible. The coordinator asks for financial reports every year.
14	Complete fulfilment of requirements deriving from other WPs exceeds the time and budget allocated for their implementation.	WP4	The likelihood can be reduced by ensuring that WP4 is represented in the other WPs so that requirements are possibly adjusted beforehand. The severity can be reduced by identifying a



D1.4

	(Likelihood: Medium Severity: High).		subset of functions/equipment/services that is most significant for the project objectives while still remaining within the allocated time and budget.
15	best practice analysis can detect vulnerability of infrastructure. (Likelihood: Medium Severity: High).	WP2	Deliverables in WP2 change the level of dissemination from public to internal.

CONCLUSIONS

This document describes general and specific risks related to all the activities of the OVERHEAT project. No risk identified here has a high probability of occurrence and the likelihood of most risks is low, which facilitates their management. The corresponding mitigation actions as mechanisms to partially or completely prevent these risks, as well as mitigation measures to solve them in case of their occurrence have been carefully elaborated and are detailed in the document. Together with the Quality Assurance Plan D1.5, these two deliverables will ensure that the high quality of the project will be maintained.



D1.4

REFERENCES

1. OVERHEAT Grant Agreement GAP-10107663
2. OVERHEAT Consortium Agreement Version 1.0
3. OVERHEAT D1.1 Project Management Plan Version 1.0
4. OVERHEAT D1.5 Quality Assurance Plan Version 1.0



**Funded by
the European Union**

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement Project No: 101076633. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.